

1

2 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
3 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

4

5 CRELENCIO CHAVEZ, et al.,

No. C 09-4812 CW

6 Plaintiffs,

ORDER

7 v.

8 LUMBER LIQUIDATORS, INC.,

9 Defendant.

10

11

 /

12 On February 10, 2016, the parties filed their Joint
13 Stipulation of Class Action Settlement. The release portion of
14 the Class Notice states, "These claims are only released to the
15 extent that they relate to (a)-(d) listed above." Docket No. 172
16 at 40. This sentence does not appear in the "Released Claims"
17 definition in the Proposed Settlement. Further, the Proposed
18 Settlement releases "any and all claims arising under any
19 applicable California Industrial Welfare Commission Wage
20 Order(s)," ¶ 2.23, which the Class Notice does not disclose.

21

The Court assumes these inconsistencies are inadvertent.
22 Within one week of the filing of this order, the parties shall
23 make consistent the Class Notice and the Proposed Settlement or
24 explain their position.

25

Further, the timeline for class counsel to file its
26 attorneys' fees motion does not permit objectors enough time to
27 review the motion. Irrespective of the Proposed Settlement, the
28

1 Court will and does order class counsel to file its motion
2 fourteen days before the objection deadline.

3 IT IS SO ORDERED.

4
5 Dated: February 17, 2016


6 CLAUDIA WILKEN
7 United States District Judge